# Report on Municipal Revenue

Citizen Advisory Group
City of Newton

# Introduction

No Magic Bullets



## Introduction

No Free Lunches



## Introduction

No More All You Can Eat Buffets



## Summary

- \$2 million to \$10 million annual revenue increases possible
- But only 1% to 4% of Newton's annual budget
- Not enough to fill the gap between anticipated rising expenses and existing revenue sources

# Methodology

- Analyzed historic revenue streams, current revenue budgets, and forecasts
- Studied public information to benchmark Newton's revenue sources with local and national peers and identifying best practices
- Reviewed prior and pending revenue proposals made by citizens and elected officials
- Solicited new ideas from the public through interactive small meetings with concerned citizens, web blogs, and "town-hall" style forums
- Interviewed knowledgeable public officials and private citizens, including the Mayor, key City and school administrators, Aldermen and School Committee members

#### Sources of Revenue

13.4% ... State "Cherry Sheet" Uncontrollable + Federal Aid 8.8% 79.8% ... and Uncertain Motor Vehicle Excise Limited by + Hotel/ Motel Taxes Proposition 2 4.6% **Building Permit Fees** Only 6.8%... 1.8% Parking Income and Locally Violation Fines 0.8% Controllable Everything Else 4.2%

Property Taxes 79.8%

Source: FY 2007 Actual, Mayor's Recommended FY 2009 Operating Budget, City of Newton

#### Choices

- "Big Choices" currently facing Newton are more profound than simply increasing revenues or reducing costs
- We must consider reductions in the historic scope and scale of both municipal and educational services
- What kind of city do we want?
- What kind of city are we willing to pay for or able to afford?

- Implement PAYT Trash Program
  - Up to \$6.8 mm annual revenue impact
  - Residents pay only for trash discarded
    - About \$2 a bag or \$250 per year for the average HH
    - All recycling is free
  - 59% of MA municipalities have PAYT
  - Pros: Fairness, Increased Recycling and Waste Reduction, Environmental Friendliness
  - Cons: Not tax deductible, increased illegal dumping concerns
  - ... and automation would save \$1 mm annually

- Increase Parking Revenue
  - · Up to \$1 mm annual revenue impact
    - Increase meter rates by \$0.25 per hour
    - Install 20 25% more meters (300 -400 new locations)
    - Lengthen hours of operation
    - Implement "pay on foot" automation
    - Increase \$25 max fine by home rule petition
    - Increase overnight fines from \$5 to cover costs
    - Adjust employee & commuter parking pricing

- Raise Building Permit Fees
  - Up to \$500,000 annual revenue impact
  - Increase fees by 7.5% to \$20 per \$1000
  - Continue auditing and enforcement efforts to minimize under-reporting

- Boost User Fees for Recreation,
   Community Ed and Cultural Programs
  - Up to \$500,000 annual revenue impact
  - Raises philosophical questions about appropriateness of taxes vs. fees
  - Utilize scholarships to ensure access for lowincome residents
  - Example:
    - Gath Pool / Crystal Lake fees (\$45 per adult/\$20 per child) are less than 65% of direct costs

- Augment Cell Tower Rentals
  - Up to \$175,000 annual revenue impact
  - One already studied site could raise \$100-\$150K
  - Other potential sites exist as well
  - Needs Aldermanic approval to proceed

- Enhance Grants, Individual Giving, and Foundations
  - Up to \$500,000 annual revenue impact
  - Increased charitable giving to City organizations
  - Increased State and Federal Grants
  - Create Newton Community Foundation?

- Sell or Lease Underutilized Municipal Properties
  - Annual Revenue Impact To Be Determined
  - Non-essential or underdeveloped city-owned properties should be leased and redeveloped privately
  - Not only creates lease and tax revenue but ensures the vitality of the City's villages and meets social goals
  - Two Examples:
    - Austin Street, Newtonville
    - Newton Centre Fire Station

- Increase Payments In Lieu of Taxes (PILOTs)
  - · Annual Revenue Impact is Indeterminate
  - Non-profit institutions pay no taxes
  - Yet use municipal services like police and fire safety
  - Visibility, economic stature, and service use suggests voluntary PILOTs
  - Example:
    - BC has contributed \$100K plus services annually since mid '80s but no attempt to quantify value of services received or build relationship
  - Difficult, long-term relationship building needed to clarify inexorable linkage between institutions and fiscal health of host city

# Considered but not Recommended

- A word about other ideas ...
  - Streamlined zoning approvals
  - Mass Pike air rights development
  - Municipal re-selling of electricity
  - Voluntary city sales tax

# Summary

- \$2 million to \$10 million revenue increases possible
- But only 1% to 4% of Newton's annual budget
- Not enough to fill the gap between anticipated rising expenses and existing revenue sources

#### Conclusion

- No magic bullets, free lunches or all you can eat buffets
- Modest one-time revenue gains possible
- Necessary but not sufficient to solve Newton's structural deficit
- We must consider reductions in the historic scope and scale of both municipal and educational services